

### **INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

The following is a summary of the telephone interview conducted by the undersigned attorney with the Examiner on July 20, 2009.

**(A) A brief description of the nature of any exhibits shown or any demonstration conducted.**

Applicant's attorney verbally provided the Examiner a proposed amendments to the independent claims of the above captioned application and described the differences between the applicant and Gombotz et al (hereafter Gombotz) (WO/13780).

**(B) Identification of the claims discussed.**

Claim 1 was the claim most thoroughly discussed, though the amendments discussed were also applied to the other pending independent claims.

**(C) Identification of specific prior art discussed.**

Gombotz et al (WO/13780).

**(D) Identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed.**

The proposed amendments to claim 1 as set forth herein was discussed. This included language about the active ingredient of the applicant's invention.

**(E) The general thrust of the principal arguments.**

Applicants' attorney presented substantially the same arguments as are set forth in the following "Remarks" which follow this interview summary.

**(F) A general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed.**

None.

**(G) If appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview.**

The Examiner indicated that she understood the arguments set forth, and at this point in the prosecution of the application, the preferable method of amending the claims would be with a request for continued examination.